

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,686	01/18/2006	Anthony Morel	348162-982560	8985
94518 7550 07/21/2011 DLA PIPER LLP (US) 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			PRINCE, JESSICA MARIE	
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2485	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/540,686	MOREL, ANTHONY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JESSICA PRINCE	2485	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.		
application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea	ne same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this piles: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the il (with appeal fee) in compilance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request R 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time		
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. I. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ships of the s	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee nsion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ordened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as an three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,		
	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of		
	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	It prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
appeal; and/or	r form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	rresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	O THE LANGE OF THE CONTROL OF THE CO		
	. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment ca</li> </ul>			
non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) L how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	] will not be entered, or b) \( \square\) will be entered and an explanation of ded below or appended.		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	pefore or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a	ercome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
	does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. Other:	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/JAYANTI K PATEL/	UEGGIGA PRINGE!		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2485	/JESSICA PRINCE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2485		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: For the reasons provided in the final rejection, the examiner maintains the rejection and analysis made in the previous rejection mailed 04-25-2011.